## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Richard Deas,	)
Plaintiff,	) Civil Action No. 5:19-830-BHH
VS.	) ) ORDER AND OPINION
Wayne McCabe; Joseph Canning; Associate Warden Ford; Major Smith;	) )
Benjamin Davis; Lieutenant Danley;	)
Director Bragg; Sergeant Brown; Sergeant Blackwell; Sergeant Rhinehard;	) )
Officer Gadsden; Officer Ezil; Head Nurse Robins; and Christina Long,	)
Defendants.	) ) )

Richard Deas ("Plaintiff"), proceeding *pro se*, brought this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 1983. (ECF. No. 1.) In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West for pretrial handling. The matter is now before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") issued by the Magistrate Judge on August 5, 2019. (ECF No. 67.) In her Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss Defendants Director Bragg, Sergeant Rhinehard, Officer Gadsden, Officer Ezil, and Sergeant Brown as party defendants in this matter. Objections to the Report were due by August 22, 2019. No objections were filed.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or

modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a careful review of the record, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no error. Accordingly, the Court ACCEPTS and incorporates the Report (ECF No. 67) by reference into this Order.

It is therefore ORDERED that the complaint in this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process as to Defendants Director Bragg, Sergeant Rhinehard, Officer Gadsden, Officer Ezil, and Sergeant Brown. Only Defendants Wayne McCabe, Joseph Canning, Associate Warden Ford, Major Smith, Benjamin Davis, Lieutenant Danley, Sergeant Blackwell, Head Nurse Robins, and Christina Long remain. This matter is returned to the Magistrate Judge for further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>/s/Bruce Howe Hendricks</u> United States District Judge

August 27, 2019 Charleston, South Carolina

\*\*\*\*

## **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified that any right to appeal this Order is governed by Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.